



04-03-07

IFW 1616

Dkt. No. 68219/23

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Maurice Husson, Christian Jacquemet and Eugene Vorobiev
Appl. No. : 10/520,471
Filed : January 7, 2005
For : PROCESS FOR PREPARATION OF AQUEOUS SUSPENSIONS
OF MINERAL LOADS, AQUEOUS SUSPENSIONS OF
MINERAL LOADS OBTAINED AND THEIR USES
Customer No. : 1912
Examiner : Ernst V. Arnold
Group Art Unit : 1616

COMMUNICATION IN REPLY TO MARCH 5, 2007 OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

"Express Mail" mailing label no.	EV 725834628 US
Date of Deposit:	April 2, 2007
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Name:	Joycelyn Giles-Fenty
Signature:	<i>Joycelyn Giles-Fenty</i>

This Communication is submitted in response to the Office Action issued on March 5, 2007 in connection with the above-identified application. A reply is due by April 5, 2007. Accordingly, this Communication is being timely filed.

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending in the subject application.

Applicants : Maurice Husson, Christian Jacquemet and Eugene Vorobiev
Appl. No. : 10/520,471
Filed : January 7, 2005

In the March 5, 2007 Office Action, the Examiner requested that applicants make an election of claims to pursue in the application together with an election of species. In response to the restriction requirement, applicants hereby elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-5, drawn to a process of preparing suspensions of fluid mineral matter. With respect to the species election, applicants elect calcium carbonate. Claims 1-5 encompass the elected invention.

Applicants maintain that a search for one group of invention identified by the Examiner would necessarily identify art pertinent to the other groups. Applicants respectfully point out that if a search and examination of multiple groups can be made without serious burden on the Examiner, the Examiner must examine the application on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions (MPEP §803). Applicants maintains that it would not place an undue burden on the Examiner to examine Claims 1-8. Accordingly, reconsideration and rejoinder of groups of inventions identified by the Examiner are respectfully requested.

No fee is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Communication. However, if any fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge any such fee to Deposit Account No. 01-1785.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
Attorneys for Applicants
90 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
(212) 336-8000

Dated: April 2, 2007
New York, New York

By: 
Alan D. Miller, Reg. No. 42,889